



## ISO 42001 Gap Analysis Everything You Need to Check Against the Full Standard.

### Description

ISO 42001 Gap Analysis Everything You Need to Check Against the Full Standard.

Why Start with a Gap Analysis? (And Why This Guide is Different)

Most organizations jump straight into implementation and waste months (and money) fixing things they didn't know were missing.

A **professional ISO 42001 gap analysis** is the smartest first step. It shows you exactly:

- Where you already comply
- Where your biggest risks and weaknesses are
- How much effort (time, budget, people) certification will actually take

This guide is **not** a short checklist. It is a **complete, expanded, self-contained reference** that walks you through the **entire ISO/IEC 42001:2023 standard** in simple language.

You can read it in one sitting, print it, or save it as a PDF. Every section includes:

- What the clause actually requires
- Real-world questions to ask yourself
- Typical gaps seen in Indian organizations
- Evidence you will need for certification
- Practical next steps

Let's begin.

### Quick Overview of ISO 42001 Structure

The standard follows the same high-level structure as ISO 27001:

- **Clauses 1-3:** Scope, references, and definitions (not audited heavily)

- **Clauses 4&10:** The 7 auditable requirements of your Artificial Intelligence Management System (AIMS)
- **Annex A:** 38 specific controls (normative & you must consider every one)

Below is the **full gap analysis**.

---

## Clause 4: Context of the Organization

**What the standard requires** You must understand internal & external issues that affect your AI systems, identify interested parties and their needs, and clearly define the scope of your AIMS.

### Gap Analysis Questions (Self-Check)

- Have you listed internal factors (culture, resources, existing policies) and external factors (laws, market trends, EU AI Act, Indian regulations)?
- Do you know what your customers, regulators, employees, suppliers, and investors expect from your AI?
- Is the scope of your AIMS documented? (Which AI systems are in/out? Which departments? Which lifecycle stages?)

### Common Gaps

- Scope is too vague (&all AI we use&) & auditors reject it.
- No analysis of external AI regulations relevant to India or export clients.
- Interested parties identified but their specific AI-related expectations not documented.

### Evidence Needed

- Documented &Context of the Organization& register
- List of interested parties + their requirements
- Approved AIMS Scope Statement

**Action to Close the Gap** Create a one-page Context Register and review it every 6 months.

---

## Clause 5: Leadership

**What the standard requires** Top management must show visible commitment, establish an AI policy, assign roles/responsibilities, and ensure the AIMS is integrated into business strategy.

### Gap Analysis Questions

- Does the CEO/MD personally approve the AI policy?
- Are clear roles (AI Governance Lead, Risk Owner, Ethics Officer, etc.) documented and communicated?
- Is leadership actively involved in AI risk reviews?

## Common Gaps

- Policy exists but is written by IT only â?? no top-management sign-off.
- Roles are assumed (â??everyone knowsâ?) instead of documented.
- No evidence that leadership reviews AIMS performance.

## Evidence Needed

- Signed AI Policy
- RACI matrix or job descriptions showing AI responsibilities
- Minutes of management reviews that cover AI

**Action** Get CEO sign-off on the AI Policy this quarter.

---

## Clause 6: Planning

**What the standard requires** Identify AI risks & opportunities, perform AI Impact Assessments (AIIA) for high-risk systems, set measurable AI objectives, and plan how to achieve them.

### Gap Analysis Questions

- Have you conducted a formal AI risk assessment (bias, privacy, security, safety, societal impact)?
- Do you have AI Impact Assessments for high-risk use cases?
- Are AI objectives SMART and linked to business goals?

## Common Gaps

- Only generic risks identified â?? no AI-specific ones (hallucination, bias amplification, third-party model risks).
- No process for AIIA.
- Objectives are missing or not measurable.

## Evidence Needed

- AI Risk Register (with likelihood, impact, treatment)
- Documented AI Impact Assessment reports
- AI Objectives & Plans

**Action** Run a workshop to build your first AI Risk Register.

---

## Clause 7: Support

**What the standard requires** Provide resources, ensure competence, raise awareness, communicate internally/externally, and control documented information.

### Gap Analysis Questions

- Do people working on AI have the right skills/training?
- Is there AI-specific awareness training?
- Are all AIMS documents version-controlled?

### Common Gaps

- No training records for AI ethics or risk assessment.
- Documents scattered across drives with no version control.
- Communication plan missing for third parties.

### Evidence Needed

- Competence matrix + training records
- Documented information procedure
- Awareness training attendance

**Action** Roll out mandatory Responsible AI training for all AI-involved staff.

---

## Clause 8: Operation

**What the standard requires** Implement the processes needed to achieve AI objectives, manage the entire AI system lifecycle, apply Annex A controls, and handle third-party relationships.

### Gap Analysis Questions

- Do you have documented processes for design development deployment monitoring retirement of AI systems?
- Are all applicable Annex A controls actually implemented and operating?

### Common Gaps

- Lifecycle processes exist informally but are not documented.
- No operational controls for model monitoring or incident response.
- Third-party AI providers (OpenAI, Google, etc.) not assessed.

### Evidence Needed

- AI Lifecycle Procedure
- Records of operational controls being used

**Action** Map your current AI development pipeline against the lifecycle requirements.

---

## Clause 9: Performance Evaluation

**What the standard requires** Monitor, measure, analyze, and evaluate the AIMS performance. Conduct internal audits and management reviews.

## Gap Analysis Questions

- Do you have KPIs for AI governance effectiveness?
- Have you scheduled internal audits of the AIMS?
- Does top management review AIMS performance at planned intervals?

## Common Gaps

- No metrics (e.g., % of AI systems with impact assessments completed).
- No internal audit program yet.
- Management review happens for ISO 27001 but not for AI.

## Evidence Needed

- Monitoring & measurement records
- Internal audit reports
- Management review minutes (with AI agenda)

**Action** Define 5-7 simple AI governance KPIs today.

---

## Clause 10: Improvement

**What the standard requires** Identify nonconformities, take corrective action, and continually improve the AIMS.

## Gap Analysis Questions

- Do you have a process for handling AI-related incidents or complaints?
- Is there evidence of continual improvement?

## Common Gaps

- No formal nonconformity & corrective action process for AI.
- Lessons learned from past AI failures are not fed back into the system.

## Evidence Needed

- Nonconformity register + corrective action records
- Evidence of improvements made

**Action** Add AI incidents to your existing incident management process.

---

## Annex A Controls Full Coverage Summary

Annex A is **normative** you must evaluate every control and implement those that apply (or justify exclusions).

---

Here are the **9 Control Objectives** with key controls explained:

<b>Objective</b>	<b>Key Controls</b>	<b>What It Means (Simple Explanation)</b>	<b>Common Gap</b>
A.2 Policies related to AI	AI Policy, Alignment with other policies	High-level direction for responsible AI	Policy is generic, not AI-specific
A.3 Internal organization	Roles & responsibilities, Reporting concerns	Clear accountability + whistleblower process	Roles not documented
A.4 Resources for AI systems	Resource allocation & documentation	Enough people, tools, budget for AI governance	Under-resourced ethics function
A.5 Assessing impacts of AI systems	AI Impact Assessment process	Formal evaluation of societal, ethical, legal impacts	No AIIA process
A.6 AI system life cycle	Design, development, verification, validation, deployment	Responsible practices at every stage	Ad-hoc development only
A.7 Data for AI systems	Data quality, bias mitigation, privacy	High-quality, ethical training data	Poor data governance
A.8 Information for interested parties	Transparency & communication	Explain AI decisions to users/stakeholders	Black-box systems
A.9 Use of AI systems	Responsible use, human oversight	Controls when AI is in production	No monitoring after deployment
A.10 Third-party & customer relationships	Supplier assessment, customer expectations	Manage external AI providers & client needs	Unvetted third-party models



# Your Guide to ISO 42001

## Quick Facts:

- AI&IS stands for “Artificial Intelligence Management System”
- Certifiable framework, but not required
- Strong emphasis on societal impact and continuous improvement
- Less technical than NIST AI RMF
- Aligns closely with EU AI Act and Colorado AI Act requirements

www.ckassociates.biz

[Download the Gap Analysis](#)

**Pro Tip:** Create a **Statement of Applicability (SoA)** table that lists every one of the 38 controls, your decision (apply/exclude), justification, and implementation status.

## How to Use This Guide Right Now (Step-by-Step)

1. Read each clause above.
2. Score yourself: **Compliant / Partial / Not Compliant.**
3. Note evidence you already have.
4. List actions in a simple tracker (Excel/Google Sheet).
5. Prioritize high-risk gaps first.

**Download Tip:** Copy this entire blog into a Word document, add your company logo, and turn it into your official Gap Analysis Report.

## Ready to Move from Gap Analysis to Certification?

CK Associates has already helped 10+ organizations in Hyderabad, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, and across India complete their ISO 42001 gap analysis and achieve certification.

Our **Gap Analysis Package** includes:

- 2-day on-site/virtual workshop
- Detailed report with prioritized actions
- Custom templates for Scope, Risk Register, AI Policy, SoA, etc.
- Roadmap with exact timelines and costs

**Typical outcome:** Organizations discover 60-70% of requirements are new and close the gaps in 3-6 months.

**Contact us today** for a **free 30-minute scoping call** and we'll show you exactly what your gap analysis would look like.

---

### Frequently Asked Questions

**Q: How long does a proper gap analysis take?** A: 1-2 weeks for most mid-sized companies.

**Q: Is this guide enough or do I still need a consultant?** A: This guide is excellent for self-assessment and small teams. For faster, audit-ready results, professional help is recommended.

**Q: Does it cover the latest 2023 version?** A: Yes - fully aligned with ISO/IEC 42001:2023 requirements as of 2026.

[Enroll](#)

### Category

1. Blog

### Tags

1. CKAssociates
2. ISO42001

### Date Created

11/04/2026

### Author

sirish